

09-02-2003

RE: 10782

Statement of Support [In Part]

However the a number of Extra, Advanced, and General Class will will not support this because of the old "turf wars" over frequencys witch has long been a standing fact of life! Perhaps only sections of 10 meters for voice may be the need-ed code free tec' class for voice.

At this time I think all the requests for change to remove the "CW" element have merit! However in the real world we will not know what countrys will retain the "CW" element as part of there own countrys exam process.

Therefore a gradual change to remove the CW element is need than a too fast jump to change. Any change at first should be in a time format not require the major rewrite of the CFR-47 Part 2, 15, and Part 97 relating to this mater.

The space on 10 meters is not enough for the additional several hundred thousand hams in contests, or events!

The FCC still has not acted on RM-10620 witch would more or less over time merge the Novices into the Tec's, and the Advanced in to the Extras, for more simple ease in operation under a "Metit" for time of service.

The current TEC test makes use of the fact that with the CW element a tec can work voice on a limited section of 10 meters with a limit of RF power! There is no exam elements for that give the band plans, rules, technical requirements for any other HF Bands! That is why upgrade should be by general exam.

The National VEC petition for rule making has the same basic Idea of this proposal for conversion of the Tec's and the Tec's Plus licenses as one!

Because of National Security, and the homeland security the Novices Related to RM-10620 [On Hold] should be merged into the TEC class also with time in service for "merit" reasons! This would give the Novice's the need voice bands on 6 meters, and 2 meters for local emergencys, as Federal & State EMA, Skywarn, and Special Event

[RM-10782 - Good Idea]

Idea has merit, but must be merged with other Petitions, from the past and present to make a workable system for local communications in emergencys.

If voice is need on other than 10 meters, than major changes in the exam as well as CFR-47 Part 97 are need-ed.

The FCC should look to protect 10 meters from unlawful use by unlicense bootleg operators who have a major problem. The FCC should look to giving most all of 10 meters to from 28.0 to

29.300 to the Tech's if they need access to HF only!

Codeless Tech's on other HF voice bands 300 kc of voice at 10 meters is not enough under present or proposed future rule changes.

The issue is not to keep HF free of codeless tech's, but to make sure that the new ham fellows & [Gal's] are "ELEMER-ED" [Trained] before they reach any future HF changes.

Good Idea,

Dale E. Reich
141 North Center St.
Seville, Ohio 44273 - 9504

Telephone (330) 769-3071 + long walk to phone, No "Caller Blocked"
Call's accpted. Ans Machine reuires 12 rings.

ak437@mail.acorn.net - exab8fq@hotmail.com